

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	
)	No. 95 CR 508-6
v.)	
)	Judge Leinenweber
JOHNNY JACKSON)	
)	
Defendant.)	

**MOTION OF THE UNITED STATES FOR ENTRY OF FINAL
ORDER OF FORFEITURE AS TO A CERTAIN SUBSTITUTE ASSET**

The United States of America, through Joel R. Levin, Acting United States Attorney for the Northern District of Illinois, pursuant to the provisions of 21 U.S.C. 853(p) and Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2, moves for a final order of forfeiture as to a certain substitute asset, and in support states that:

1. On December 13, 2000, as part of his sentence, this court entered a preliminary order of forfeiture imposing a personal money judgment for \$1,000,000. Dkt. 729.

2. On January 10, 2017 this court entered an agreed preliminary order of forfeiture as to a certain substitute asset. Dkt. 1156. The preliminary order forfeited any interest Johnny Jackson had in funds totaling \$33,221.07 held in Jackson's Inmate Trust Fund Account as a substitute asset to partially satisfy the judgment. The order further directed the United States Marshals Service to seize and take custody of the funds. Additionally, the United States was ordered to publish notice of its intention to forfeit the funds and to dispose of the funds according to law.

3. Pursuant to the provisions of 21 U.S.C. § 853(n)(1), beginning on February 9, 2017, and continuing for at least 30 consecutive days, notice of the criminal forfeiture was posted on an official government internet site. A copy of the declaration of publication is attached as Exhibit A.

4. The preliminary order of forfeiture was served pursuant to the district court's ECF system as to ECF filers. Pursuant to the provisions of 21 U.S.C. § 853(n)(1), no other parties are known to have an interest in the aforementioned funds, and accordingly, no other parties were served with a copy of the notice of forfeiture and the preliminary order of forfeiture.

5. To date, no one filed a petition requesting a hearing to adjudicate any interest in the foregoing funds and the time to file a hearing request expired.

WHEREFORE, the United States requests that this court enter a final order of forfeiture as to the \$33,221.07 as a substitute asset in partial satisfaction of the outstanding money judgment. A proposed final order of forfeiture will be submitted to the court's proposed order email address.

Respectfully submitted,

JOEL R. LEVIN
Acting United States Attorney

By: s/ Joseph A. Stewart
JOSEPH A. STEWART
Assistant United States Attorney
219 South Dearborn Street
Chicago, Illinois 60604
(312) 469-6008
joseph.stewart@usdoj.gov